


# SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS

## 2021 YOUR FARM PRACTICES REPORT

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### About National Pork Board

The pork industry has had a legislative checkoff program since 1986. NPB desires to help pork producers share their sustainability story to support individual businesses across the country as well as represent the sustainability of the entire industry. Currently there are programs in place for promotion, research, and education.








#### Quantifying the Impact of Actual Farm Practices

The EcoPractices® platform determines environmental benefits through its unique process that can pinpoint specific influences of individual agricultural practices. While agricultural practices have progressed to better care for natural resources, the ability to quantify the influence these practices have on sustainability has not kept pace. NPB seeks to put evidence-based measurements to its farm practices. Having such data brings more depth to decision-making. Short- and long-term goals can be based upon more meaningful information.

#### Swine Inventory:

**1.7 million** from **272** sites

*Defined as Sow and/or Finished Pigs per Year*

Conservation Practice	Fields	Acres
 Buffer	218	1,906
 Grassed Waterway	355	1,575
 Forest	-	3,404
 Pollinator Habitat	-	65
 Wetland	53	380

**153,454** acres from **2,786** fields on **151** farms

#### WE CARE<sup>SM</sup> ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

The We Care initiative was launched in 2008 as a joint effort of the National Pork Board, the National Pork Producers Council (NPPC), and state organizations representing farmers. Through the We Care initiative, they hope to earn the public's trust by making this industry better for all concerned — animals, farmers, food industry partners, and consumers worldwide.



- › Food Safety
- › Animal Well-Being
- › Environment
- › Public Health
- › Our People
- › Our Communities

#### NATIONAL PORK BOARD'S ENVIRONMENTAL INITIATIVE



One pillar of the We Care Ethical Principles is Environment. This includes the use of manure as a valuable resource in a manner that safeguards air and water quality, includes air quality from production facilities to minimize the impact on neighbors and the community, and includes managing operations to protect the quality of natural resources.

- › Air Quality
- › Carbon Footprint
- › Emergency Action Plan
- › Manure & Site Management
- › Feed Management
- › Mortality Management
- › Water Conservation

#### CROP YIELDS

Barley	63 bu/ac	Pea	1.5 T/ac
Canola	0.9 T/ac	Potato	20 T/ac
Cereal Rye	49 bu/ac	Pumpkin	10 T/ac
Corn Grain	212 bu/ac	Sorghum Silage	1.5 T/ac
Corn Silage	26 T/ac	Soybean	63 bu/ac
Hay	5.6 T/ac	Sudan Grass	2.9 T/ac
Horseradish	6 T/ac	Sunflower	0.8 T/ac
Oats	74 bu/ac	Triticale	6.4 T/ac
Pasture	3.8 T/ac	Winter Wheat	73 bu/ac

## MANURE APPLICATION & SAVINGS


**40%** of acres received liquid manure fertilizer at an average rate of **9,232 gallons/acre.**



The average **cost savings** from manure applied to **64,498 acres** was estimated to be **\$134 per acre** based on a reduced need for commercial N, P & K resulting in a **total savings of \$8.6 million.**



Manure produced during pork production has many benefits. Manure provides macro- and micro-nutrients to the crops that are grown. The soils applied receive **organic matter** which increases **carbon storage**. In addition, **microbial activity** is stimulated. Producers prioritize **stewardship** by properly applying manure to benefit the fields that are applied.




## IN-FIELD ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES

The data is reflective of weather and soils influence in addition to implemented in-field management practices for the project year.<sup>†</sup>

	OVERALL FARM
Net GHG Emissions	<b>-0.41 T CO<sub>2</sub>e/ac</b>
Soil Carbon Sequestered	<b>0.30 T C/ac</b>
Soil Erosion Rate	<b>0.89 T/ac</b>


## EROSION AVERAGE

The USDA National Resources Inventory provides estimates on average erosion for different systems across the US.\*




National Average  
**4.6 T/ac**

## COVER CROPS



## TILLAGE



According to the 2017 US Ag Census, the national average is **4% cover crop adoption**, **37% no-till adoption**, and **35% reduced till adoption**.

## SOIL CONDITIONING INDEX (SCI)

SCI is an NRCS tool that shows soil health trajectory. A positive SCI means a positive trajectory of soil health.

The fields in the project are an overall **+** trajectory for **SCI**.

## CROPLAND

96%

## FORAGE

4%

## IN-FIELD PRACTICE COMPARISON IMPACTS

When compared to conventional practices (i.e. conventional tillage, no cover crop scenario), in-field farm practices generated:<sup>‡</sup>



**89,780 fewer tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e**, which is the same as



**17,433 average passenger cars** off the road for a year



**24,164 tons of soil carbon sequestered**



**99,540 tons of soil saved instead of being lost to erosion**, which is the same as



**6,221 dump trucks of soil**



**557 tons of nitrogen saved** instead of being lost through leaching and runoff.



**104 tons of phosphorus saved** instead of being lost through runoff.



**SUSTAINABLE**  
Environmental Consultants

Powered by **ECO PRACTICES**

Data provided by 151 pork producers in the United States through a program funded by the Pork Checkoff.

<sup>†</sup>EcoPractices estimates an environmental impact value for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, reducing soil erosion, and reducing nutrient loss due to reduced leaching. These estimates adhere to processes that are documented by the NRCS Technical Guides and publications from the EPA. These values are tailored to a specific location and participant's operation. Models used are supported by USDA, NRCS, other government agencies, and major universities. Modeled results include input data from public resources for weather, soils, and historical crop rotation. Greenhouse gas simulations were produced from the Greenhouse Gas Inventory (GGIT) tool developed by Soil Metrics, LLC (2021) <https://soilmetrics.eco>. The GGIT tool implements the USDA-sanctioned greenhouse gas inventory methods described in Eve et al. (2014) 'Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Agriculture and Forestry: Methods for Entity-Scale Inventory'. The GGIT tool utilizes greenhouse gas modeling technology developed for the COMET-Farm tool, licensed by Colorado State University to Soil Metrics, LLC.

\*USDA, NRCS 2017 National Resource Inventory

This summary must not be edited or altered in any way without the involvement and consent of Sustainable Environmental Consultants, LLC.